

Quality Educational Programs, Inc.

1891 North Gaffey Street #228

San Pedro CA 90731

800-486-8650

**Creating a Professional Portfolio:
A Portfolio Project for
Teaching Students Responsible Behavior**

A Distance Learning Graduate Course

Course Proposal

“Special buzzwords have been rampant in educational circles for the past few years. Terms such as *critical thinking*, *authenticity*, *hands-on*, *student centered*, *reflection*, and *qualitative assessment* have been right at the head of the list. But perhaps the most provocative among the buzzwords has been *portfolio*. Ironically, a portfolio can easily cover critical thinking, authenticity, hands-n, student centered, reflection, qualitative assessment, and more.”

Robert L. Wyatt III & Sandra Looper
*So You Have to Have a
Portfolio*

Creating a Professional Portfolio

Course Title

“Creating a Professional Portfolio:
A Portfolio Project for Teaching Students Responsible Behavior”

Course Description

“Creating a Professional Portfolio” is designed to help participants extend the application of what they learned in “Teaching Students Responsible Behavior” (TSRB) and in the process gain experience in the creation of a portfolio. The portfolio development guidelines in this course are aligned with the standards set by the National Board for Professional Teaching Standards. In the process of creating their portfolios, participants learn how to effectively describe, analyze, and reflect upon instructional units.

Course Goals

The goals of this course are to have participants:

- Develop an understanding of the theoretical basis for the creation of professional portfolios.
- Gain experience in effectively describing, analyzing, and reflecting upon instructional units.
- Create portfolios based on three instructional units.
- Share their portfolios with colleagues and receive feedback.
- Reflect upon their course experience and plan for future use of knowledge gained.

Course Prerequisites

To enroll in this course, participants must meet the following requirements:

1. Participants must be currently enrolled in or have successfully completed the video course “Teaching Students Responsible Behavior.”
2. Participants must have access to the videos provided to them in the learning materials for TSRB course.
3. Participants must have access to a classroom for the implementation of their teaching units.
4. Participants must enroll as part of a study team or enlist a colleague to participate in the reading, video viewing, and discussion assignments for each session.

Course Overview

This course is organized to reflect the approach to professional portfolio development as adopted by the National Board for Professional Teaching Standards. Specifically, for each of the teaching units required in this course, participants will be required to describe, analyze, and reflect upon their course work.

Description. The description requirement will include two parts. In part one, participants will describe in detail the units that they plan to teach. In part two, they will describe their experience in teaching the units. In the describing unit, participants will address the following questions:

- What are the goals of the unit?
- How the unit reflects your knowledge of your students?
- What concepts will students have to learn to successfully complete the unit activities?
- What is your plan to assess student learning at the end of the unit.
- In the description of teaching the unit, participants will address the following questions:
 - What was your experience in teaching the unit?
 - To what degree did the activities in the unit successfully engage students in the learning process?
 - What did students do correctly? Incorrectly?
 - What were the results of your assessment of student learning?

Analysis. After teaching their units, participants will analyze their experience. In their analysis, participants will address these questions:

- To what degree were your goals for this unit met?
- To what degree do concepts presented in the unit require reteaching or reinforcement?
- What were the most successful aspects of the unit? Why?
- What were the least successful? Why?

Reflection. In reflecting on their experience and the analysis, participants will consider the implications for future teaching. In the reflection section, participants will address the following questions:

- Based on your analysis of the unit, what might you do next, or differently, or additionally for your students?
- From teaching this unit, what did you learn about your strengths as a teacher?
- If you were to teach this unit again, what would you do differently?
- What did you learn from this unit that could make future instruction more effective?

Course Rubric

The rubric for this course is based on the rubric presented in *Middle Childhood/Generalist Assessment and Scoring Kit* (National Board for Professional Teaching Standards, 1999). The rubric describes four levels of achievement with Level Four being the highest. There are four levels for each of the three key elements of the course: description, analysis, and reflection.

Description, Level Four. Level Four performance provides clear, convincing, and consistent evidence that participants have created teaching units that are based on a knowledge of their students, have clearly defined goals, include instructional strategies and activities to meet those goals, and have a plan to assess student achievement in terms of those goals.

Analysis, Level Four. Level Four performance provides clear, convincing and consistent evidence that the participants have sought to interpret their experience in teaching the unit with respect to were unit goals reached, do some concepts need reteaching or reinforcement, and what were the most successful and least successful aspects of the unit.

Reflection, Level Four. Level Four performance provides clear, convincing and consistent evidence that based on their experience they have considered what they will do next for their students, what they would do differently if they were to teach the unit again, and what implications their experience has for future teaching.

For each of the above elements: Level Three provides “clear evidence,” Level Two provides “limited evidence,” and Level One provides “little or no evidence.”

Course Outline

Session #1 “Professional Portfolios: Understanding the Theory.”

Objectives: To have participants examine the theoretical basis for using professional portfolios; to have participants develop an understanding of course rubrics; and, to have participants plan for the development of their own portfolios.

Assignments and Activities: Required reading in course text chapters 1 and 2, discussions with study team, and the development of a personal plan for portfolio development and use.

Session #2 "Creating a Professional Portfolio."

Objectives: To have participants learn the process of creating a professional portfolio; to have participants create and describe in detail an instructional unit that will enhance their students understanding of Choice Theory.

Assignments and Activities: Required reading in course text chapters 3 and 4, viewing of videos, discussions with study team, and the creation of an instructional unit to meet the above objective.

Session #3 "Examining Student Work and Selecting Archives."

Objectives: To have participants learn how to best evaluate student work in selecting archives; to have participants teach an instructional unit that will enhance their students understanding of Choice Theory.

Assignments and Activities: Required reading in course text chapters 5 and 6, discussions with study team, the teaching of the instructional unit created in the previous session, and the collection of archives.

Session #4 "The Role of Reflection and the Portfolio Process."

Objectives: To have participants develop an understanding of the key role reflection plays in creating a professional portfolio; to have participants create and describe in detail an instructional unit that will encourage students to take responsibility for their behavior and learning.

Assignments and Activities: Required reading in course text chapter 7, viewing of videos, discussions with study team, and the creation of an instructional unit to meet the above objective.

Session #5 "Professional Portfolios: Professional Development or Teacher Assessment."

Objectives: To have participants examine the different roles professional portfolios can play in education; to have participants teach an instructional unit that will encourage students to take responsibility for their own behavior and learning.

Assignments and Activities: Required reading in course text chapter 8, discussions with study team, the teaching of the instruction unit created in the previous session, and the collection of archives.

Session #6 "The Power of Self-Directed Inquiry."

Objectives: To have participants examine the value of self-directed inquiry in their professional development; to have participants create and describe in detail an instructional unit that will enhance students understanding of the G-PAR (goal, plan, action, results) explanation of behavior.

Assignments and Activities: Required reading in course text chapters 9 and 10, viewing of videos, discussions with study team, and the creation of an instructional unit to meet the above objective.

Session #7 "Professional Portfolios: Case Studies."

Objectives: To have participants analyze the portfolio process through case studies; to have participants teach an instructional unit that will enhance student understanding of the G-PAR process.

Assignments and Activities: Required reading in course text chapters 11 and 12, discussions with study team, the teaching of the instructional unit created in the previous session, and the collection of archives.

Session #8 "Portfolios and the Assessment of Teaching."

Objectives: To have participants explore how professional portfolios might best be utilized in the assessment of teaching; to have participants select archives and create their professional portfolios.

Assignments and Activities: Required reading in course text chapter 13, discussions with study team, and the creation of a professional portfolio.

Session #9 "Portfolios and Teacher Preparation."

Objectives: To have participants examine how portfolios can be part of a teacher's preparation program; to have participants receive feedback from an administrator or colleague regarding their portfolio.

Assignments and Activities: Required reading in course text chapter 14, discussions with study team, a meeting with a colleague or administrator to receive feedback on the portfolio, and reflection on that feedback.

Session #10 "Portfolios and Their Consequences."

Objectives: To have participants consider the impact a broader use of professional portfolios might have on our educational system; to have participants complete their portfolios and reflect upon their experience in this course.

Assignments and Activities: Required reading in course text chapters 15 and 16, discussions with study team, incorporating feedback into their final portfolio, reflecting on their course experience, and planning for future use of knowledge and skills gained in this course.

Course Learning Activities

To successfully complete this course, participants will engage in the following learning activities:

1. Complete the reading assignments from the text.
2. Meet with their study team to discuss the reading assignment. (In sessions 2 through 10, they will also discuss their experience with the previous session's application assignment.) NOTE: While benefits are greatest when participants take this course as a part of a study team, participants can successfully complete course requirements by working with a friend or colleague who is not enrolled in the course; or, by reflecting upon the material and considering how they might use it in their teaching situations.
3. View the assigned video(s).
4. Meet again with their study team to discuss the video content in relation to the assigned reading.
5. Design and describe teaching units based on the reading, the videos, and their discussions.
6. Teach their units and collect archives for possible inclusion in their portfolio.
7. Compile, evaluate, and select archives for inclusion in the portfolio.
8. Assemble the final version of their portfolio.
9. Reflect upon their experiences with the application assignments and record a summaries in a Learning Reports.
10. Reflect on their overall course experience and plan for future use of knowledge and skills gained.

Course Materials

1. Course text: *With Portfolio in Hand: Validating the New Teacher Professionalism*, Nona Lyons, Editor. Teachers College Press: New York, 1998.

Contributors include:

Dr. Larry Cuban, Professor of Education, Stanford University;

Dr. Carol Lynn Davis, Associate Professor of Human Development at the College of Education and Human Development of the University of Southern Maine;

Dr. Richard Dollase, Director of the Office of Curriculum Affairs, Brown University;

Dr. Helen Freidus, member of the graduate faculty, Bank Street College of Education;

Dr. Grace Grant, Associate Professor of Education and Chair of the Secondary Teacher Education Program, Dominican College of San Rafael, California;

Dr. Gloria Ladson-Billings, Associate Professor, Curriculum and Instruction, University of Wisconsin at Madison;

Dr. Walter H. Kimball, Associate Professor in the Teacher Education Department at the University of Southern Maine.

Dr. Nona Lyons, Visiting Associate Professor in Education, Dartmouth University; Dr. Lee Shulman, President of the Carnegie Foundation for the Advancement of Teaching and Professor of Education, Stanford University;

Dr. Pam Moss, Associate Professor, University of Michigan.

Dr. John Snyder, Director of Teacher Education, University of California at Santa Barbara.

Dr. Lee Shulman, president of the Carnegie Foundation for the Advancement of Teaching and Professor of Education at Stanford University;

Dr. Lee Teitel, Associate Professor, University of Massachusetts, Boston.

2. Study Guide containing portfolio development guidelines, supplemental reading, discussion activities, application assignments, rubrics, and learning reports.
3. Text for the “Teaching Students responsible Behavior” course: *Basic Concepts of Choice Theory*. San Pedro: Quality Educational Programs, 1998.
4. “Teaching Students Responsible Behavior” videos:
 - “Educating Today’s Students”
 - “Choice Theory: An Introduction”
 - “Starting with the Basics: A Choice Theory Vocabulary with 4th Grade Students”
 - “Responsibility Training: An Introduction”
 - “Making Time-Out a Plus”
 - “Opening the Door to Self-Evaluation”
 - “Success Making: An Introduction”
 - “Helping Students Learn How to be Responsible”
5. Bibliography and Recommended Reading

Course Outcomes

This course are designed to promote a quality graduate educational experience through the attainment of the following outcomes:

To successfully complete the program, participants will:

1. Demonstrate an understanding of portfolio creation guidelines as determined by the National Board of Teaching Standards.
2. Demonstrate proficiency in accurately describing an instructional unit to be included in the portfolio.
3. Demonstrate proficiency complete analyses of instructional units for inclusion in their portfolio.
4. Demonstrate proficiency in reflecting upon instructional unit teaching experience and analysis thereof.
5. Demonstrate proficiency in critically analyzing archives for inclusion in their portfolios.
6. Assemble their professional portfolios, share them with colleagues, and receive feedback.
7. Incorporate feedback suggestions in the final version of their portfolios.
8. Acquire and utilize the skills in building learning networks that they may continue to utilize as lifelong learners.

Course Assessment

Participant achievement will be measured by a combination of the following:

1. An evaluation of participant's 10 progress reports. (Outcomes 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5.)
 - Each progress report will be evaluated for:
 - Thoroughness of responses.
 - Quality of responses
 - Quality of reflection.
2. An evaluation of participant's professional portfolio. (Outcomes 6, 7, and 8.)
 - The professional paper will be evaluated for:
 - Inclusion of required components.
 - Quality of descriptions, analyses, and reflections.
 - Presentation in an organized, professional manner.

Bibliography

- Barton, J., & Collins, A. (1993). "Portfolios in teacher education." *Journal of Teacher Education*, 44, 200-210.
- Bird, T. (1990). "The schoolteacher's portfolio: An essay on possibilities." In J. Millman & L. Darling-Hammond (Eds.), *The new handbook of teacher evaluation: Assessing elementary and secondary school teachers* Newbury Park, CA: Sage. 241-55
- Cambridge, B. & Williams, A. (1998) *Portfolio Learning*. Upper Saddle River, New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
- Campbell, D., Cignetti, P., Melenzyer, B., Nettles, D., & Wyman, R. (1997). *How to develop a professional portfolio: a manual for teachers*. Boston: Allyn and Bacon.
- Cole, D. J. (1992, February). "The developing professional: Process and product portfolios." Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Association of Colleges for Teachers, San Antonio, TX. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 342 731)
- Collins, A. (1991). Portfolios for biology teacher assessment. *Journal of Personnel Evaluation in Education*, 5, 147-67.
- Guillaume, A. & Yopp, H.K. (1995). Professional portfolios for student teachers. *Teacher Education Quarterly*, 22(1), 93-101.
- Lyons, A. (Ed.) (1998) *With Portfolio in Hand*. New York: Teachers College Press.
- National Board for Professional Teaching Standards. (1999) *Middle Childhood/Generalist Assessment and Scoring Kit*.
- Paulson, F. L., Paulson, P. R., & Meyer, C. A. (1991). What makes a portfolio a portfolio? *Educational Leadership*, 48 (5), 60-63.
- Seldin, P. (1991). *The teaching portfolio: A practical guide to improved performance and promotion/tenure decisions*. Bolton, MA: Anker.
- Shore, B., Foster, S., Knapper, C., Nadeau, G., Neill, N., & Sim, V. (1991). *The CAUT guide to the teaching dossier: Its preparation and use*.
- Shulman, L. S. (1988, November). "A union of insufficiencies: Strategies for teacher assessment in a period of educational reform." *Educational Leadership*, 36-41.
- Simmons, J. (1996). Control the purpose, not the contents: Coaching the creation of teaching portfolios. *Action in Teacher Education*, XVIII (1), 71-81.
- Winsor, P.J. (1998) *A Guide to the Development of Professional Portfolios in the Faculty of Education*. Lethbridge, Canada: University of Lethbridge.
- Winsor, P. J. (1994). Learning about portfolio assessment: Student teachers as language learners, users, and teachers. *Journal of Reading Education*, 19(3), 12-18.
- Winsor, P. J., & Ellefson, B. A. (1995). Professional portfolios: Monitoring and documenting professional development of student teachers. *Alberta English*, 33(1), 5-12.
- Winsor, P. J., & Ellefson, B. A. (1995). Professional portfolios in teacher education: An Exploration of their value and potential. *The Teacher Educator*, 31, 68-81.

Wolf, K. (1991, October). The schoolteacher's portfolio: Issues in design, implementation, and evaluation. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 129-136.